

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

* * *

Before the court are plaintiff Jamilla D. Stephens' Motion/Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (#1), Complaint (#1-1), and Amended Complaint (#3).

I. *In Forma Pauperis* Application

Plaintiff Stephens asserts in her application to proceed *in forma pauperis* that her gross pay or wages is \$20,000.00 and that her monthly take-home pay or wages a month is \$1,200.00. (#1). Plaintiff has \$250.00 in her bank account, a 2007 Nissan worth approximately \$11,000.00, monthly expenses of \$1,500.00, and three children who are completely dependent upon her. *Id.* Plaintiff pays \$398 a month for her auto loan, \$400 in rent, \$300 in utilities, and \$300 in child care expenses. *Id.* Accordingly, plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted pursuant to § 1915(a).

II. Screening the Complaint

Upon granting a request to proceed *in forma pauperis*, a court must additionally screen a complaint pursuant to § 1915(e). Specifically, federal courts are given the authority to dismiss a case if the action is legally “frivolous or malicious,” fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. § 1915(e)(2). “To survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009) (internal

1 quotations and citation omitted).

2 In considering whether the plaintiff has stated a claim upon which relief can be granted, all
 3 material allegations in the complaint are accepted as true and are to be construed in the light most
 4 favorable to the plaintiff. *Russell v. Landrieu*, 621 F.2d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 1980). Allegations of a
 5 *pro se* complaint are held to less stringent standards than formal pleading drafted by lawyers. *Haines*
 6 *v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972) (per curiam). When a court dismisses a complaint under § 1915(e),
 7 the plaintiff should be given leave to amend the complaint with directions as to curing its deficiencies,
 8 unless it is clear from the face of the complaint that the deficiencies could not be cured by amendment.
 9 *See Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995) (citation omitted).

10 **A. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint**

11 Before addressing the merits of plaintiff's claims, the court must determine whether it has
 12 jurisdiction over the matter. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, federal district courts have jurisdiction over
 13 "all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States." "A case 'arises
 14 under' federal law either where federal law creates the cause of action or 'where the vindication of a
 15 right under state law necessarily turn[s] on some construction of federal law.'" *Republican Party of*
 16 *Guam v. Gutierrez*, 277 F.3d 1086, 1088-89 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting *Franchise Tax Bd. v. Construction*
 17 *Laborers Vacation Trust*, 463 U.S. 1, 8-9, 103 S.Ct. 2841, 77 L.Ed.2d 420 (1983)). The presence or
 18 absence of federal-question jurisdiction is governed by the "well-pleaded complaint rule." *Caterpillar,*
 19 *Inc. v. Williams*, 482 U.S. 386, 392, 107 S.Ct. 2425, 963 L.Ed.2d. 318 (1987). Under the well-pleaded
 20 complaint rule, "federal jurisdiction exists only when a federal question is presented on the face of the
 21 plaintiff's properly pleaded complaint." *Id.*

22 Plaintiff alleges in her amended complaint that defendant LVNV Funding, LLC, violated the Fair
 23 Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692. (#3). Plaintiff asserts that defendant is
 24 a debt collector as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and that defendant is attempting to collect a debt
 25 that plaintiff disputes. *Id.* Plaintiff alleges that defendant failed to validate the alleged debt, and has

1 caused damages by “reporting fraudulent information to...credit bureaus, including sending [p]laintiff
2 collection letters.” *Id.* Plaintiff also asserts that defendant has continued to harass her in violation of
3 the FDCPA. *Id.*

4 As plaintiff’s complaint presents a federal question, *i.e.* whether defendant violated a United
5 States Code, this court has jurisdiction over the matter. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Upon review of the
6 factual allegations contained in plaintiff’s amended complaint (#3), the court finds that plaintiff’s
7 complaint “state[s] a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.” *See Ashcroft*, 129 S.Ct. at 1949;
8 *Haines*, 404 U.S. at 520. As such, plaintiff’s amended complaint (#3) survives dismissal. *Id.*

9 Accordingly, and for good cause shown,

10 IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff’s Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (#1) is GRANTED.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff is permitted to maintain the action to conclusion
12 without the necessity of prepayment of any additional fees, costs, or security. This order granting *in*
13 *forma pauperis* status shall not extend to the issuance of subpoenas at government expense.

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall file the Amended Complaint (#3),
15 issue summons to the defendants named in the complaint, deliver the same to the U.S. Marshal for
16 service, and send blank copies of the USM-285 forms to the plaintiff.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff shall have twenty (20) days to furnish to the U.S.
18 Marshal the required USM-285 forms. Within twenty (20) days after plaintiff receives copies of the
19 completed USM-285 forms from the U.S. Marshal, plaintiff must file a notice with the court identifying
20 which defendants were served and which were not served, if any. If the plaintiff wishes to have the U.S.
21 Marshal attempt service again on any unserved defendants, then a motion must be filed with the court
22 identifying the unserved defendants, specifying a more detailed name and address, and indicating
23 whether some other manner of service should be used. Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
24 Rule 4(m), service must be accomplished within one hundred twenty (120) days from the date that the
25 complaint was filed.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that from this point forward, plaintiff shall serve upon defendants,
2 or their attorney if they have retained one, a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document
3 submitted for consideration by the court. Plaintiff shall include with the original paper submitted for
4 filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the
5 defendants or their counsel. The court may disregard any paper received by a district judge, magistrate
6 judge, or the Clerk which fails to include a certificate of service.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing currently scheduled for July 26, 2012, at 9:30 a.m.
8 is VACATED.

9 DATED this 13th day of July, 2012.



10
11 **CAM FERENBACH**
12 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26